EXHIBIT A

VOLUME: 1 1 - 80 PAGES: SEE INDEX EXHIBITS: 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 5 CASE NO. 04-10006-JGD 6 7 8 MICHAEL RODIO, plaintiff, 9 vs. 10 R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, 11 Defendant. 12 13 14 DEPOSITION OF RICHARD F. KANE, a witness 15 called on behalf of the Plainiff, pursuant to the 16 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Linda A. 17 Menard, a Registered Professional Reporter and 18 Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of 19 Massachusetts, at Sahady Associats, P.C., 199 North 20 Main Street, Fall River, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, 21 June 14, 2005, commencing at 1:10 P.M. 22 23 DeTerra Reporting Services One Bow Drive 24 Acushnet, Massachusetts 02743

Tel. (508) 763-2542 Fax (508) 763-3521

And this was written by Mr. Fasciani, 1 Q. reviewed by Mr. Deschenes, and the allegations were 2 reviewed by you --3 4 Α. That is correct. -- prior to the delivery of this letter to 5 Q. 6 Mr. Rodio, right? 7 Correct. Α. What did you mean when you said he failed ' 8 to maintain the everyday lowest price, he failed --9 10 were you urging him to sell cigarettes at a certain 11 price or not? 12 The everyday low price program when 13 presented to the retailer, which it's the 14 retailer's option to accept or not, states that RJ 15 Reynolds' brand -- and I have to refer here --16 which would be Monarch, there can be no brand in 17 the store sold to the consumer for a lower price 18 than Monarch. 19 If it's the decision of the retailer, then 20 why do you put the burden on your sales rep to maintain the lowest price? 21 22 Α. Because the sales rep -- by signing the 23 retailer up on this program, the retailer has to

adhere to the requirements of the program; and the

24

1 sales rep's job is ensure that the retailer adheres to the contractual requirements that he signed off 2 3 on. 4 Q. Which is to sell your cigarettes below state minimum? 5 6 There is nothing here in this sentence 7 that you read to me that speaks anything to state 8 minimum. 9 0. Let's go back and read the rest of this; 10 maybe that will help: "Wave, competitive product, 11 cigarettes retail for \$3.52 per pack while RJRT's 12 Monarch retail for \$3.62 per pack." 13 Α. Okay. 14 You were blaming Rodio and firing him for 15 not selling your Monarch brand at 3.52 or below. 16 Isn't that what this plain English means? 17 This is saying that Monarch was not the everyday-low-price brand in the store. The 18 19 retailer signed up for a program that would require 20 Monarch to be the everyday-low-price brand, 21 regardless of what -- the retailer establishes the 22 price. 23 Regardless of what the state minimum was, Q. 24 yes or no.

1 I don't know what the retailer did 2 regarding state minimum. I do not know what the 3 state minimum price was at that time. 4 0. Shouldn't you have known, Mr. Kane, with 5 all your experience and long standing with this 6 company that the price, minimum price was 4.01 and 7 you are urging this guy to sell it below 3.62? 8 No, I would not; because I do not know Α. 9 that the price that you are referring to, 4.01, was 10 in fact the state minimum at the time. 11 You don't think the Attorney General has 12 the state minimums for every year? 13 Α. I would assume they would. 14 Did you ever check on that to see what the Q. 15 minimum was in 2002? 16 Was it part of my role to check what the Α. 17 state minimum price was with the Attorney General? 18 You were firing Mr. Rodio for failing to sell Monarch below 3.62 when the minimum price set 19 20 by the state was 4.01. Isn't that what you were 21 doing here in your own words, the words of your 22 company? 23 MR. LOFTIS: Objection to the form of the 24 question.

1	Q. You can answer it. The minimum price for
2	Monarch was 4.01 in October of '02, and you are
3	firing this guy for not selling it at 3.52 or
4	below. This says that in plain English. Doesn't
5	that tell you that you were firing him because he
6	was selling cigarettes he failed to sell
7	cigarettes substantially below the state minimum?
8	A. Is that a question?
9	Q. Yes, sir, it is.
10	A. He does not sell Mr. Rodio does not
11	sell the cigarettes. You said he failed to sell
12	the cigarettes.
13	Q. Failed to maintain the price. This is
14	your own words, "failed to maintain". What did you
15	mean by that, that he failed to maintain? He
16	failed to enforce the contract that requires the
17	retailer to sell below 3.52; is that what you
18	meant?
19	MR. LOFTIS: Objection. That's not what
20	the contract says.
21	MR. SAHADY: Let him tell me what the
22	contract says, if I'm wrong.
23	A. The contract states that Monarch, the
24	brand that we are talking about, should be the

1 lowest or at parity with the lowest brand in the 2 store. 3 And it didn't matter to Richard Kane Q. whether this price was below state minimum or not? 4 5 Α. The EDLP contract does not speak to state 6 minimum. 7 Q. Of course, you are not going to say that 8 openly. 9 MR. LOFTIS: Whoa --10 I know it didn't say that. That's not 0. 11 what I said. You are a very brilliant man, Mr. 12 Kane; so please listen to the question and answer it. You either knew or didn't know the minimum 13 14 price for Monarch in 2002, in October. If you knew it, tell me. If you didn't know it, you should 15 16 have known it. 17 MR. LOFTIS: That's not a question. 18 MR. SAHADY: But I'm just prefacing --19 MR. LOFTIS: Lecturing the witness. 20 MR. SAHADY: Exactly. Educating him so we 21 can get an answer from him. 22 Did you know the minimum price for Monarch Q. 23 in October of '02? 24 I do not know at this time what the state Α.

minimum price of Monarch was in '02. 1 At this time, you don't know it. I can 2 accept that, Mr. Kane. But did you know it in 3 October of '02? 4 I do not recall if I did. Α. 5 Should you have known it? Q. 6 Not necessarily. 7 Α. Who should have known it, Fasciani? 0. The retailer should know it. 9 Α. And you had -- you didn't care whether it 10 Q. was below the state minimum or not, that was the 11 retailer's problem. Is that what you're saying? 12 Could you rephrase the question? 13 Α. I'm failing to understand the meaning of 14 0. your language in this termination letter, "you 15 failed to execute the everyday low price, EDLP, 16 contract requirements in this account by not 17 placing signage and failing to maintain the 18 everyday lowest price for Monarch". 19 You are telling this guy, this grunt in 20 the field, that he failed to maintain the everyday 21

lowest price when that lowest price would bring

Is that what you're telling him here or am I

your product substantially below the state minimum.

22

23

24

misreading this? 1 I don't see anything here that refers to 2 state minimum, so I can't answer that question. 3 But you are saying that you would have 4 known the state minimum back in '02? 5 MR. LOFTIS: I don't believe that's what 6 7 he said. I said I wouldn't necessarily have known 8 that. Could you read the answer to my question? I 9 don't think that's my exact words. I mean, my 10 11 answer to your question. Q. So you want to leave it that you didn't 12 know what the minimum was in '02, the state minimum 13 price for Monarch? Is that how you want to leave 14 15 your testimony? Is the question, did I know the exact 16 state minimum price of Monarch when this was 17 18 written? Do you want to leave it at what you have 19 said to us so far? 20 21 Could somebody read what I said before I 22 answer that question? 23 Let me finish my question. I'll ask you a 24 whole new question. I'll start it from scratch.

You maintained some overview of the 1 minimum pricing of cigarettes in this state, did 2 you not? 3 What do you mean by "overview"? Α. 4 You became familiar with what the minimum 5 0. pricing was for Monarch, for Camels, for any other 6 brand that was sold by your competitors as well? 7 I was aware that there was state minimum 8 9 pricing. You were aware of that. Did you come to 10 know at least in a superficial way what these 11 prices were for different products by different 12 companies including your own? 13 At that point in time, in making retail 14 calls, I was probably made familiar with what the · 15 various state minimum pricing, price points were. 16 You were watching your competition here, 17 Q. Wave -- your company was, was it not? 18 We were watching Monarch to ensure that 19 Α. 20 Monarch was the everyday low price brand in the 21 store. But you were astute enough and careful 22 23 enough to watch what your competitor is doing, that 24 you say the Wave product was for 3.52; but you were

not observant enough to know what the state minimum 1 was? 2 First of all, I didn't write this 3 document; so the observance of the difference 4 between 3.62 for Monarch and 3.52 by Wave would 5 have been made by Mr. Fasciani. 6 But he's your subordinate and you reviewed 7 Q. this with him you said, correct? 8 Yes. 9 Α. And you put the onus, the burden here to 10 maintain the everyday low price on Mr. Rodio, your 11 employee? Is this what this language says, "you 12 failed to maintain the everyday lowest price"? 13 The language states exactly what it 14 Α. 15 states. Exactly what I just read. And it means to 16 17 you something different than the English language 18 means to everybody else? MR. LOFTIS: Objection to the form of the 19 20 question. When you say "you failed to maintain", are 21 22 you saying to him he should maintain the price? 23 When you say to someone, "you failed to do this", 24 it implies that he had a duty to do it, he had an

obligation to do it. His obligation, the way I 7 read it here -- And if it's different, you tell me. 2 His obligation was to make sure that the Monarch 3 price was below or at parity with 3.52, which would 4 have been making the product substantially below 5 the state minimum of 4.01? 6 I can't speak to the state minimum Α. 7 8 pricing. Why, because you don't know it now or 9 Q. because you didn't know it then? 10 I don't know it now. I don't recall what 11 Α. it was, and the document that you put in front of 12 13 me means nothing to me. You don't recall what it was? 14 I know that there was state minimum 15 pricing in effect. It changes all the time; so I 16 don't recall everytime there's a manufacturer's 17 price increase, a state excise tax increase. 18 19 state changes its formula. The state minimum 20 changes. 21 Q. Yes, I agree with that totally, Mr. Kane. 22 You are the manufacturer, right? 23 RJ Reynolds Tobacco is the manufacturer. Α. 24 When I say "you", I don't mean you

Q.

Mr. Rodio made the call. What call? 1 Q. Isn't this talking about Swidey's Variety, 2 which was one of his calls? 3 By call you mean --4 Q. I mean retail establishment that --5 I misunderstood. I take it you subscribe 6 Q. 7 to this letter and what's in it, otherwise you would have vetoed it, certain parts of it? 8 Could you clarify what you mean by 9 "subscribe"? 10 11 Subscribe means you agree with it, you 0. 12 bless it. I would agree with the contents of the 13 14 letter overall, yes. Now, in establishing -- for the state to 15 16 establish its minimum pricing for a certain brand, 17 it relies on reporting to it by the manufacturer and the wholesaler? 18 19 By the manufacturer, yes. Α. 20 But not by the wholesaler? Q. 21 I'm not sure about that. My understanding 22 is that the manufacturers give the state their list 23 price. From that point forward, the state applies 24 a formula, a state minimum markup from the

1 wholesaler to the retailer and then from the 2 retailer to the consumer. The state minimum pricing has a dual 3 Q. purpose, I think. What I think isn't important; 4 5 but -- first, to ensure the proper revenue goes to 6 the state; and second, to prevent predatory 7 practices by the competitors in the tobacco 8 industry. Is that your understanding as well? 9 MR. LOFTIS: I would object to the form of 10 the question. That's calling for a legal 11 conclusion that he would have no basis -- you are 12 asking him what the intent of the law is. 13 couldn't even answer that question. 14 MR. SAHADY: Neither could I. 15 MR. LOFTIS: Then why ask the witness. 16 Α. May I take a break to get water? 17 (Break.) 18 Q. So Mr. Kane then --19 Α. Should I put this away then? Is this 20 supposed to stay with me? 21 MR. LOFTIS: I was going to say the only. 22 thing that 'I would ask is that we -- just so we 23 have a very clean copy, nothing written on it. 24

MR. SAHADY: And we can introduce this as

1 Exhibit 2. 2 MR. LOFTIS: You can mark it as Exhibit 2 3 I don't think it's ever been authenticated. 4 don't know what it is either. 5 MR. SAHADY: For identification. 6 (Marked for identification, Exhibit 2, 7 List of Prices.) 8 Q. So the state, as we were saying, then 9 relies on the -- I'm quoting, by the various 10 manufacturers to wit in order to set the minimum 11 price? 12 That would be my assumption. I have never 13 seen correspondence from RJ Reynolds to the state, 14 but I would assume that that is how the state 15 establishes its state minimum pricing. I'm not 16 involved in that process. 17 Q. And the state minimum pricing varies from 18 time to time? 19 Α. That is correct. 20 And it would be important to Phillip 21 Morris or to RJR to maintain either the lowest price or price at parity with other competitors in 22

I can't speak to Phillip Morris.

23

24

a given area?

Α.

1 0. To you, RJR? 2 Regarding our everyday low price plan, Α. 3 that would be correct. So you want to stay in competition with 4 5 your competitors, with Phillip Morris, let's say, 6 if they are your primary competitor? 7 I really don't know how to answer that Α. 8 question. 9 If you sold Monarch at a higher price than 0. 10 your competitor, say, Wave -- who makes Wave, by 11 the way, do you know? 12 I do not know. Α. 13 Q. If Monarch is consistently sold below 14 Wave, this would hurt the sales of RJR; if Monarch 15 is sold consistently above Wave in price there goes 16 market share? 17 A. Potentially. 18 So the incentive is to maintain Monarch at Q. 19 parity with or below Wave? 20 Α. In that particular example, that would be 21 correct. 22 And in order to do that, you have created Q. 23 the EDLP program? 24 Α. Not in particular relative to Wave; just

1 to be the everyday-low-price brand in a particular 2 store. 3 And regardless of what the state minimum 0. prices would be at that time for that brand? Yes, 4 5 that's a question, sir. 6 Α. State minimum pricing is something for the 7 retailer to adhere to. 8 Why do you then say to your sales rep that 9 he failed to maintain that price if the price is 10 set by the wholesaler and the retailer? 11 Whatever the established price is in the 12 store by the retailer, we want to have our brand be 13 equal to or lower than any other brand in the 14 store. If the retailer decides to charge a hundred 15 dollars for a pack of cigarettes and that's the 16 lowest price, we want to be at a hundred dollars. . 17 They determine that price. 18 Q. Regardless of whether that hundred dollars 19 is above or below the state minimum? 20 Α. The state minimum is -- it's my 21 understanding that the retailer has to be in 22 accordance with state minimum. 23 Q. That's not what I'm asking, Mr. Kane. 24 have no regard for what the state minimum is as